DOI: 10.17711/SM.0185-3325.2014.029

Translation of the original version published in spanish in: Salud Mental 2014, Vol. 37 Issue No. 3.

Validation of the Mexican version of the Weinberger Adjustment Inventory (WAI)

Tania Romo González,¹ Claudia Beatriz Enríquez-Hernández,² María del Rocío Hernández Pozo,³ María Elena Ruiz Montalvo,² Rosa Lilia Castillo,⁴ Yamilet Ehrenzweig Sánchez,⁴ María Luisa Marván,⁴ Carlos Larralde⁵

Original article

SUMMARY

Introduction

The inability to control emotional outbursts and the excessive inhibition of emotions are associated with a variety of personal and interpersonal problems, psychological disorders, and states of health. Weinberger developed an inventory to assess two central aspects of socio-emotional adjustment: the subjective experience of distress and restraint. As the inventory was in English, we decided to translate it into Spanish and validate it in a population of Spanish-speaking Mexicans.

Method

The revised Spanish WAI was applied to 452 participants using the same Likert scale used in the English WAI, in which each answer was given a score out of five points. The participants were adults (over 18 years) of either gender, with at least a high school level of education.

Results

After analyzing the discriminatory power of the questions by the method of extreme groups and factorial analysis by the principal components method, the Spanish WAI was composed of 44 items, which were divided into four factors: 1. Self-control, consisting of a total of 19 questions, which explained 18.68% of the variance, 2. Subjective experience of distress, consisting of 12 questions, which accounted for 10.84% of the variance, 3. Defensiveness, consisting of seven questions that explained 7.10% of the variance, and 4. Consideration of others, which grouped together six questions that explained 6.54% of the variance. Finally, we assessed the reliability of the questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha as follows: Self-control 0.89, Subjective experience of distress 0.84, Defensiveness 0.69, and Consideration of others 0.74.

Conclusions

Weinberger's Inventory is a useful instrument to assess self-control as an important aspect of individuals' emotional distress, and to measure the magnitude of restraint in a population, thus allowing preventive and therapeutic actions in cases that merit it.

Key words: Spanish validation, Weinberger inventory, distress, emotional restraint, socio-emotional adjustment, Spanish-speaking.

RESUMEN

Introducción

La incapacidad para aprender a regular los arranques emocionales y la inhibición excesiva de emociones se asocian con una gran variedad de problemáticas personales e interpersonales, así como con alteraciones psicológicas y de salud. Weinberger desarrolló un inventario que evalúa dos aspectos centrales del ajuste socioemocional: la experiencia subjetiva de distrés y la contención emocional. El inventario sólo existía en inglés, por lo que resolvimos traducirlo al español y validarlo en una población de hispanoparlantes mexicanos.

Método

El cuestionario traducido y revisado se aplicó a 452 participantes, respetando la escala Likert del cuestionario original de cinco puntos. Los participantes fueron personas adultas (mayores de 18 años) sin distinción de sexo que tenían un nivel de escolaridad mínimo de secundaria.

Resultados

Tras el análisis de la capacidad discriminatoria de los reactivos por el método de grupos extremos y el análisis factorial por el método de componentes principales, el cuestionario quedó conformado por 44 reactivos, agrupados en cuatro factores: 1. Dominio de sí mismo con un total de 19 preguntas que explicaron 18.68% de la varianza, 2. Experiencia subjetiva de distrés, compuesto por 12 preguntas que explicaron 10.84% de la varianza, 3. Defensividad, el cual constó de siete preguntas que explicaron 7.10% de la varianza y 4. Consideración hacia los demás, que agrupó seis preguntas que explicaron 6.54% de la varianza. Finalmente, se evaluó la confiabilidad del cuestionario utilizando el alpha de Cronbach, y quedó como sigue: Dominio de sí mismo 0.89, Experiencia subjetiva de distrés 0.84, Defensividad 0.69 y Consideración hacia los demás 0.74.

Conclusiones

El Inventario de Weinberger es una herramienta útil para evaluar el ajuste socioemocional, así como para determinar la magnitud de la inhibición emocional en una población, abordar su prevención e instrumentar acciones para la atención de los casos que lo ameriten.

Palabras clave: Validación, inventario de Weinberger, distrés, contención emocional, ajuste socioemocional, propiedades psicométricas, hispanoparlantes.

- ¹ Institute of Biological Research, Universidad Veracruzana.
- ² Faculty of Nursing, Veracruz Region, Universidad Veracruzana.
- Complex Human Behavior Laboratory, UNAM Iztacala.
 Institute of Psychological Research, Universidad Veracruzana.
- 5 Department of Immunology, Institute of Biomedical Research, UNAM.

Correspondence: Tania Romo González. 2a Schubert 4 Indeco Ánimas, 91190, Xalapa, Ver., Mexico. Telephone: +52 (228) 841-8900 ext. 13401. Fax: +52 (228) 841-8911 ext. 15911. E-mail: tromogonzalez@uv.mx

Received first version: May 7, 2013. Second version: November 20, 2013. Accepted: February 7, 2014.

ralud mental Vol. 37, No. 3, May-June 2014 247

INTRODUCTION

From the first few months of age, babies express their emotional reactions to those around them. Later, they learn that such displays have interpersonal costs as well as advantages. Gradually, they begin to hide their emotions from other people to varying degrees, and start to use cognitive strategies that alter their behavior. As such, in adult age there is little correlation between the experience of emotion and its expression. In fact, as individuals have to grow more and more interactions outside the family, the need to modify emotional impulses and behavior becomes a fundamental requirement of maturity and effective human functioning.

It is not surprising that incapacity for understanding and regulating emotional outbursts and excessive inhibition of emotions predicts a wide variety of problems throughout one's life, such as interpersonal difficulties, and psychological and health problems, 9-12 while well-adapted people or those with healthy parameters for emotional control have little subjective distress and high levels of spontaneity, assertiveness, and free expression. 13,14 For example, abnormal levels of distress and contentment are related to various problems including criminal behaviors, post-traumatic stress disorder among criminals, and alcohol abuse among university students. 15

The instruments that use standard measurements of anxiety, depression, or rage assume that a report of low levels is optimum. However, it is often not possible to detect emotional contentment with indirect measures. 16 In laboratory studies, it has been seen that although they may report very low levels of subjective distress, people who contain their emotions exhibit reaction levels to stress that are equal to or greater than those who admit to experiencing considerable distress.¹³ Although people who contain their emotions say they do not experience emotions, the changes in their cardiac rhythm, blood pressure, muscle tension, sweat gland activity, facial expression, paralinguistic speech patterns, and reaction times suggest that they are exhibiting a more elevated reactivity than those individuals who do not contain their emotions. 17-19 Furthermore, emotional inhibition is also implicated in the exacerbation of a variety of health problems, including asthma, cancer, hypertension, and poor functioning of the immune system.^{13,20} As such, it has been concluded that from the point of view of healthy behavior, it is not necessarily ideal for a person to inhibit their emotions. However, the nature of the structure and organization of a person who inhibits their emotions is limited.^{21,22} This is due to results having been accumulated in an isolated manner (i.e., depression, impulsivity, assertiveness), without taking into account how these attributes increase the probability of pathogenic or immunogenic behaviors. 23-27

Weinberger²⁷ employed a comprehensive perspective to develop an inventory that assesses socioemotional ad-

justment, which has two dimensions: a) The affective dimension or subjective experience of distress, which provides a general measure of individuals' tendencies to feel unsatisfied with themselves. In this way, predisposition to anxiety or depression, low self-esteem, and low wellbeing are operationally defined as subtypes of distress. b) The second dimension measures containment (as in, self-restraint) and encompasses socioemotional variables related to socialization and self-control. It is about the suppression of egoistic desires in the short term for the interests of others in the long term. As such, containment is superimposed²⁵ onto tendencies to inhibit aggressive conduct to control impulses, act in a responsible manner, and be considerate of others.

Distress and containment can also be found within the context of the "Big Five" factors of personality, ^{26,27} and the three model factors of Eysenck and Tellegen. ^{24,28} Furthermore, although they differ considerably in the details, the conceptualization of distress and containment as two wide and relatively stable dimensions of adjustment are parallel with the construction of ego-resilience and ego-control.²⁹

In this sense, the Weinberger Adjustment Inventory (WAI)^{13,28,30,31} is a good instrument for measuring socioemotional adjustment, as well as the personality dimensions and subdimensions in an efficient and reliable manner. It consists of 84 reactives and is made up of three factors: Distress (anxiety, depression, low self-esteem, and low wellbeing), Containment (repression of aggression, impulse control, consideration for others, and responsibility), and Defensiveness (defensive attitude, denial of distress). The factorial structure of distress and containment scales are retained from early adolescence through to old age, both in clinical cases as well as in normal people.^{14,32-35} The three scales have demonstrated internal consistency above 0.85 in various samples, and a reliability above 0.7 through the test-retest in a sample of early adolescents, once, and then seven months later. Furthermore, on comparison with the six other instruments that measure repression, this has been the questionnaire with best psychometric properties of the existing instruments for socioemotional adjustment (the Byre scale for Repression and Sensitivity, the Weinberger Scale for Repressive Coping, the Miller Scale for Monitoring and Blunting, the Self-Deceit Questionnaire by Sackeim and Gur, and the Paulus Self-Deceit Questionnaire).36

The relationship between diminished emotional expressiveness and certain conditions such as cancer has been demonstrated with the use of this instrument. For example, repression (low anxiety and high defensiveness) and suppression (deliberate retention of expressing negative emotions) are associated with the faster progression of breast cancer and with a shorter life expectancy.³⁷ In fact, suppression and repression have been considered as

psychological variables that notably affect psychosocial adjustment in people with cancer, being predictors in the incidence³⁸ and prognosis of faster progression of breast cancer.³⁹

Because of all the virtues and characteristics of the WAI, the object of the present study is to translate it to Spanish and validate it in a sample of Mexican adults.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Participants

A sample of 452 subjects was studied, of which 182 were male, 265 were female, and five did not specify a gender. Their ages ranged between 18 and 70 years (mean 27.93, SD 11.03). All participants lived in the cities of Xalapa or Veracruz, and had a middle or lower middle socioeconomic level. In terms of education, 70% had secondary or high school education and 30% had higher education. The number of participants was chosen to meet the criterion of at least five subjects for each reactive on the original questionnaire. 40,41

The criteria for inclusion were: 1. Adults (over 18 years old), 2. A minimum secondary level of education, and 3. Voluntary and informed participation.

The participants were contacted either in their places of work or in their homes by means of personal networks. Their participation was requested, clarifying that the questionnaire was anonymous and that the results would only be used for this investigation. When a person agreed to participate, a place and time was agreed to complete the questionnaire. Some 90% of the people who were requested to participate agreed to answer the questionnaire.

Instrument

The Weinberger Adjustment Inventory assesses general capacity for socioemotional adjustment. It consists of 84 reactives assessed on a Likert scale of five points. In the first part of the questionnaire (reactives 1 through 45) the options on the scale are: 1. False, 2. More or less false, 3. I'm not sure, 4. More or less true, and 5. True. In the second part (reactives 46 through 84), the options are: 1. Never or almost never, 2. Rarely, 3. Sometimes, 4. Frequently, and 5. Always or almost always.

It is made up of three factors: 1. Distress (divided into subscales of Anxiety, Depression, Low self-esteem, and Low-wellbeing); 2. Containment or Self-control (divided into subscales of Suppressing aggression, Impulse control, Consideration of others, and Responsibility); and 3. Defensiveness (divided into subscales of Repression-defensiveness, and Denial of distress).

Procedure

The original questionnaire was translated into Spanish and then again back into English in order to compare its correspondence with the original. Five experts in the subject were then asked to assess the drafting of the instrument to ensure that all reactives were understood. Later, a pilot study was conducted with 10 people who were asked to respond to all the questions, and at the end, comment on any that seemed confusing or difficult to understand. Some modifications were made as a consequence, and another pilot study was then conducted with 15 participants who all advised that they had understood the reactives well.

The revised questionnaire was applied to the 452 participants in the months of January and February 2012, respecting the Likert scale of the original such that each reactive was given a score of 1 to 5. The surveyor clarified that there were no correct or incorrect answers.

RESULTS

An analysis was made of the discriminatory capacity of the reactives by means of the extreme groups approach, comparing the total scores of 27% of the subjects with those of the 27% highest scores and the 27% lowest scores.⁴⁰ The Student's *t* test for independent samples was used and 22 reactives were eliminated for not reaching the level of statistical significance (p<0.05).

A factorial analysis was then performed by means of the principal components with varimax rotation method. Four factors were extracted having eigenvalues greater than one. The assigning of values to each factor was done based on two criteria: that the reactive was conceptually related to the factor considered, and that it had a factorial weight greater than 0.35 in the corresponding factor. Some 18 factors that did not meet either of the aforementioned criteria were eliminated. In this way, the questionnaire was made up of 44 reactives. The four factors extracted explain 43.17% of the total variance. The first factor brought together a total of 19 reactives that explained 18.68% of the variance; these reactives refer to the degree of containment or self-control, and it is therefore called Self-control. The second factor was made up of 12 reactives that explain 10.84% of the variance, and refer to the emotional dimension of the perception of emotional distress; it is therefore called Subjective experience of distress. The third factor consists of seven reactives which explain 7.10% of the variance; these reactives refer to defensive attitude and are called Defensiveness. Finally, the fourth factor groups together six reactives that explain 6.54% of the variance, and indicate the degree of worry or consideration for others. It is therefore called Consideration of others. The first three factors were given the same names as in the original in-

ralud mental Vol. 37, No. 3, May-June 2014 249

Table 1. Factorial structure of the Weinberger Adjustment Inventory

		Factorial weight			
Reactive	Factor I	Factor II	Factor III	Factor IV	
r66 If someone does something I really don't like, I shout and tell them off.	.654				
r68 When I am angry, I lose control and I let people notice.	.602				
r73 offend people don't like.	.640				
r80 Ofendo a las personas que me molestan.	.725				
r84 When someone provokes me, I respond to the provocation.	.634				
r48 I do things without paying much attention.	.543				
r54 I "go crazy" and do things that other people may not like.	.700				
r57 When I do something for fun (like partying, acting foolishly), I tend to take it to excess.	626				
r63 I like doing new and different things, even though most people would consider them strange or not very safe.	n .374				
r71 I do things that I know are not quite right.	.607				
r20 I do more illegal things than most people.	.603				
r49 When I get the chance, I take what I want, even though it doesn't belong to me.	.634				
r55 I do things that are unfair to people I don't care about.	.725				
r56 cheat when know nobody will realize.	.625				
r60 break laws and rules don't agree with.	.658				
72 I say the first thing that comes into my mind without stopping to think.	.528				
r76 If people do things without asking me to join them, I feel rejected.	.448				
r50 If someone tries to hurt me, I make sure I get even.	.683				
r53 I feel so moody that I just stop and do nothing.	505				
r42 I often feel sad or miserable.		.575			
r69 I feel so downhearted and unhappy that nothing makes me feel better.		.464			
rO9 I feel insecure in myself.		.472			
r21 In reality, I dislike myself.		.568			
r27 I sometimes feel so bad about myself that I would like to be someone else.		.532			
r44 I generally feel like I am the kind of person I want to be.		.673			
rO1 I enjoy most of the things I do in the week.		.541			
r07 It doesn't matter what I am doing, I usually have a good time.		.575			
r15 I generally consider myself a happy person.		.687			
r22 I generally have a good time when I do things with other people.		.434			
r28 I am the type of person who smiles and laughs a lot.		.552			
34 I am the type of person who has a lot of fun.		.694			
r12 I remember a time when I was so angry at somebody that I had the urge to hurt them.	i		.416		
r16 I have done things that are not right and regretted it afterwards.			.600		
r29 From time to time, I say bad things about people behind their backs.			.532		
r30 From time to time, I break promises I have made.			.588		
r39 There are times when I don't let people find out that I have done something wrong.			.387		
r37 There are times when I don't finish things because I waste a lot of time.			.519		
rO2 Sometimes I intend to do something but end up doing something else.			.586		
r79 I stop to think about things before I act.				.648	
r47 I think about other people before I do something they won't like.				.611	
r51 I enjoy doing things for others, even if I don't get anything in return.				.477	
r59 I make sure that doing what I want won't cause problems for those around me.				.541	
r65 Before I do something, I think whether it will affect those around me.				.655	
r77 I work hard not to hurt other people's feelings.				.709	

strument. However, the fourth factor has reactives which were grouped within the subscale of the same name in the original English version of the instrument (Consideration of others) which belonged to the Self-control factor. Table 1 shows the 44 reactives of the final questionnaire, as well as their factorial weight.

Finally, in order to assess the reliability of the questionnaire, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was obtained for each one of the factors, as well as for the scale in general. The results showed a satisfactory internal consistency and are shown in Table 2.

CONCLUSIONS

Weinberger's Inventory is a tool that assesses two central aspects of socioemotional adjustment. The first is the dimension of the subjective experience of distress, which provides a general measure of individuals' tendencies to feel unsatisfied with themselves and their capacity to achieve desired results. The second is the dimension of containment (i.e., self-control), which includes socioemotional variables related to socialization and self-control, and refers to the suppression of egoistic desires in the short term in favor of the interests of others in the long term.²⁷ Furthermore, although they differ considerably in the detail, the conceptualization of distress and containment as broad and relatively stable dimensions of adjustment is parallel to the construction of ego-resilience and ego-control.⁴¹

In this sense, the inventory is a good instrument to measure socioemotional adjustment and the dimensions (and subdimensions) of the personality in an efficient and reliable manner, given that the factorial structure of the scales of distress and containment are comparable in pre-adolescence and old age in both clinical and normal populations.

The results obtained show that it maintains its characteristics of internal consistency with a factorial structure that is conceptually congruent. Furthermore, the reduction of the reactives to 44 make the inventory more manageable in its application.

The factorial structure of the Weinberger Inventory was made up similarly to the original factors. However,

Table 2. Internal consistency indexes of the Weinberger Adjustment Inventory

	Number of reactives	Alfa
Factor I. Self-control	12	.89
Factor II. Subjective experience of distress	19	.84
Factor III. Defensiveness	7	.69
Factor IV. Consideration of others	6	.74
Total questionnaire	44	.78

the subdimension Consideration for others, which formed part of the factor Self-control in the original, made up a fourth factor in the Spanish version. The reactives in this factor were "I think of others before I do something they would not like (r47)", "I enjoy doing things for others, even if I don't get anything in return (r51)", "I make sure that doing what I want won't cause problems for anybody (r59)", "Before doing something, I think whether it will affect those around me (r65)", "I work hard not to hurt other people's feelings (r77)", and "I stop to think about things before I act (r79)". This seems to be an indicator of important cultural differences in the Mexican population, although other base elements are not ruled out. For example, Weinberger suggests that self-control covers four elements which are guided by intrapersonal (impulse control), interpersonal (suppression of aggression and consideration of others), and common (responsibility) goals, and they have been validated as a single factor. However, Farrel and Sullivan⁴⁰ suggest that the subscale Consideration of others should not be included within self-control or containment. This is because, in accordance with typology of personality, while impulse control and responsibility reflect self-control or morality, consideration of others is associated with kindness. In fact, these and other authors have re-examined the factorial structure of this subscale and have found differences such as those that are shown here.40,42

Furthermore, the reactive "I feel so moody that I just stop and do nothing (r53)", located in the factor Self-control, was previously in Subjective experience of distress. This variation could be a product of cultural differences with respect to how emotions are perceived and acted upon.

In terms of the possible usefulness of this questionnaire, now that it has been previously shown that Weinberger's Inventory is a useful research tool in the areas of social psychology, mental health, and public health, it now allows for an assessment of emotional inhibition as an important aspect of emotional discomfort in individuals. Furthermore, its use has allowed the magnitude of the problem to be determined in various populations, as well as the approach to carry out prevention and treatment actions. Because of the above, the translation and validation of this instrument in the Mexican population will open up the field of research in this and other Spanish-speaking populations, and allow comparisons between populations. Finally, the questionnaire in Spanish has been substantially reduced in terms of the number of reactives, making its application and analysis easier and allowing the application of other instruments that broaden or confirm its usefulness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Grateful acknowledgement is given to Janine Giese-Davis, of the Department of Oncology at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Calgary, who kindly helped with the English version of the Weinberger Inventory, and Lorena Amelia Mercado Lara, of the Language Faculty at the Universidad Veracruzana, who translated and retranslated the instrument. We also appreciate the technical support of the undergraduate students Elizabeth Vázquez Montero, Liliana Yépez Olvera, and Raquel González Ochoa, who applied the questionnaires and captured the data. This project was financed thanks to resources from the Institute for Biological Research and the Faculty of Nursing, Veracruz region, of the Universidad Veracruzana.

REFERENCES

- 1. Baumeister RF, Tice DM. Emotion and self-presentation. En: Hogan R, Jones WH (eds.). Perspectives in personality. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press; 1987; vol. 2; pp.181-199.
- Jiménez B. Psicología de la personalidad. Procesos. Madrid: Thompson; 2007; pp.203-213.
- Harris PL. Children and emotion: The development of psychological understanding. New York: Basil Blackwell; 1989.
- Henao López GC, García Vesga MC. Interacción familiar y desarrollo emocional en niños y niñas. Revista Latinoamericana Ciencias Socials 2009.
- Saarni C, Crowley M. The development of emotion regulation: Effects on emotional state and expression. In: Blechman EA (ed.). Emotions and the family: For better or for worse. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1990; pp.53-73.
- Retana-Franco BE, Sánchez-Aragón R. Rastreando en el pasado... formas de regular la felicidad, la tristeza, el amor, el enojo y el miedo. Universitas Psychologica 2009;9(1):179-197.
- King LA, Emmons RA. Conflict over emotional expression: Psychological and physical correlates. J Personality Social Psychology 1990:58:864-877.
- 8. Singer J. Personality and psychoteraphy: Treating the whole person. New York: The Guilford Press; 2005.
- Caspi A, Elder GH, Bem DG. Moving against the world: Life-course patterns of explosive children. Developmental Psychology 1987:23:308-313.
- Larson DG, Chastain R. Self-concealment: Conceptualization, measurement, and health implications. J Social Clinical Psychology 1990;9: 439-455.
- Pennebaker JW. Confession, inhibition, and disease. En: Berkowitz L (ed.). Advances in experimental social psychology. Orlando: Academic Press; 1989; vol. 22. pp.211-244.
- 12. Barra E. Influencia del estado emocional en la salud física. Terápia Psicológica 2003;21(1):55-60.
- Weinberger DA. The construct validity of the repressive coping style.
 En: Singer JL (ed.). Repression and dissociation: Implications for personality theory, psychopathology, and health. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1990; pp.337-386.
- Weinberger DA, Schwartz GE. Distress and restraint as superordinate dimensions of self-reported adjustment: A typological perspective. J Personality 1990;58:381-417.
- 15. Wilson JJ, Willams SE, Garner E, Duxbury E et al. Personality traits in juvenile delinquents: Associations with peer and family relations. Jefferson J Psychiatry 2001;16(1):32-45.
- Shedler J, Mayman M, Manis M. The illusion of mental health. American Psychologist 1993;48:1117-1131.

- Asendorpf JB, Scherer KR. The discrepant repressor Differentiation between low anxiety, high anxiety, and repression of anxiety by autonomic-facial-verbal patterns of behavior. J Personality Social Psychology 1983;45:1334-1346.
- King AC, Taylor CB, Albright CA, Haskells WL. The relationship between repressive and defensive coping styles and blood pressure responses in healthy, middle-aged men and women. J Psychosomatic Research 1990;34:461-471.
- Weinberger DA, Schwartz GE, Davidson RJ. Low-anxious, high-anxious, and repressive coping styles: Psychometric patterns and behavioral and physiological responses to stress. J Abnormal Psychology 1979:88:369-380.
- Schwartz GE. Psychobiology of repression and health: A systems approach. En: Singer JL (ed.). Repression and dissociation: Implications for personality theory, psychopathology, and health. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1990; pp.405-434.
- Phares E, Lamiell JT. Personahty. Annual Review Psychology 1977;28: 113-140.
- Rorer LG, Widiger TA. Personality structure and assessment. Annual Review Psychology 1983;34:431-463.
- London H, Exner JE. Dimensions of personality. New York: Wiley; 1978.
- Eysenck HJ, Eysenck MW. Personality and individual differences. A natural science approach. New York: Plenum Press; 1985.
- Hampson SE, John OP, Goldberg LR. Category breadth and hierarchical structure in personality Studies of asymmetries in judgments of trait implications. J Personality Social Psychology 1986;51:37-54.
- McCrae RR, Costa PT Jr. Validation of the five-factor model of personality across instruments and observers. J Personality Social Psychology 1987;52:81-90.
- Weinberger DA, Feldman SS, Ford ME. Social-emotional adjustment in older children and adults 11 External validation of subdimensions of distress and restraint. (1989). Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Tellegen A. Stmctures of mood and personality and their relevance to assessing anxiety, with an emphasis on self-report. In: Tuma AH, Maser J (eds.). Anxiety and the anxiety disorders. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1985; pp.681-706.
- Digman JM. Five robust trait dimensions Development, stability, and utility. J Personality 1989;57:195-214.
- Weinberger DA. Distress and self-restraint as measures of adjustment across the life span: Confirmatory factor analyses in clinical and nonclinical samples. Psychological Assessment 1997;9(2):132-135.
- Huckaby W, Kohler M, Garner EH, Steiner H. A comparison of the Weinberger adjustment inventory and the Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory with incarcerated adolescent males. Child Psychiatry Human Development 1998;28:273-286.
- 32. Weinberger DA. Social-emotional adjustment in older children and adults: I. Psychometric properties of the Weinberger adjustment inventory, 1990b, Unpublished Manuscript.
- Giese-Davis J, Spiegel D. Suppression, repressive-defensiveness, restraint, and distress in metastatic breast cancer: Separable or inseparable constructs? J Personality 2001;69:417-449.
- 34. Turvey C, Salovey P. Measures of repression: converging on the same construct? Imagination Cognition Personality 1993-94;13(4):279-289.
- Giese-Davis J, Conrad A, Nouriani B, Spiegel D. Exploring emotion-regulation and autonomic physiology in metastatic breast cancer patients: Repression, suppression, and restraint of hostility. Pers Individ Dif 2008;44(1):226-237.
- McKenna MC, Zevon MA, Corn B, Rounds J. Psychosocial factors and the development of breast cancer: A meta-analysis. Health Psychology 1999;18:520-531.
- 37. Jensen MR. Psychobiological factors predicting the course of breast cancer. J Personality 1987;55:317-342.
- 38. Anastasi A, Urbina S. Test psicológicos. España: Aguilar; 2000.
- 39. Nunnally JC. Teoría psicométrica. México: Trillas; 2000.

- Farrell AD, Sullivan TN. Structure of the Weinberger adjustment inventory self-restraint scale and its relation to problem behaviors in adolescence. Psychological Assessment 2000;12:394-401.
- 41. Block JH, Block J. The role of ego-control and ego-resiliency in the organization of behavior. En: Collins WA (ed). Minnesota symposia
- on child psychology. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1980; vol 13; pp.9-101.
- Sumter SR, Bokhorst CL, Westenberg PM. The Robustness of the Factor Structure of the Self-Restraint Scale: What does self-restraint encompass. J Research Personality 2008;42:1082-1087.

Declaration of conflict interest: None

valud mental Vol. 37, No. 3, May-June 2014